

Analysis of a platform-switched, coronally mini-threaded, textured dental implant

100 per cent success rate

JOHN S. CAVALLARO JR.

Literature

1. Novaes AB Jr, Souza SL, de Oliveira PT, et al. Histomorphometric analysis of the bone-implant contact obtained with 4 different implant surface treatments placed side by side in the dog mandible. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17(3):377-383.
2. Sahiwal IG, Woody RD, Benson BW, et al. Radiographic identification of threaded endosseous dental implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 2002;87(5):563-577.
3. Trisi P, Rao W. Bone classification: clinical-histomorphometric comparison. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1999;10(1):1-7.
4. Niznick GA. Achieving osseointegration in soft bone: The search for improved results. *Oral Health* 2000;27-32.
5. Cavallaro J Jr, Greenstein B, Greenstein G. Clinical methodologies for achieving primary dental implant stability: The effects of alveolar bone density. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2009;140:1366-1372.
6. Smith DE, Zarb GA. Criteria for success of osseointegrated endosseous implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 1989;62:567-572.
7. Herrmann I, Lekholm U, Holm S, et al. Impact of implant interdependency when evaluating success rates: A statistical analysis of multicenter results. *Int J Prosthodont* 1999;12:160-166.
8. Ahlqvist J, Borg K, Gunne J, et al. Osseointegrated implants in edentulous jaws: A 2-year longitudinal study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1990;5:155-163.
9. Stafford GL. Are the outcomes of immediate and early single tooth implants comparable to conventionally placed implants? *J Clin Periodontol* 2008;35:1073-1086.
10. Balshe AA, Assad DA, Eckert SE, et al. A retrospective study of the survival of smooth- and rough-surface dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009; 24:1113-1118.
11. Olate S, Lyrio MC, de Moraes M, et al. Influence of diameter and length of implant on early dental implant failure. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;68:414-419.
12. Stanford CM, Wagner W, Rodriguez Y, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of dental implant therapy in a practice-based network (FOCUS). *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2010;25:367-373.
13. Jung RE, Zembic A, Pjetursson BE, et al. Systematic review of the survival rate and the incidence of biological, technical, and aesthetic complications of single crowns on implants reported in longitudinal studies with a mean follow-up of 5 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012;23(suppl 6):2-21.
14. Moghaddas H, Stahl SS. Alveolar bone remodeling following osseous surgery. A clinical study. *J Periodontol* 1980; 51:376-381.
15. Weber HP, Buser D, Fiorellini JP, et al. Radiographic evaluation of crestal bone levels adjacent to non-submerged titanium implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1992;3:181-188.
16. Hermann JS, Cochran DL, Nummikoski PV, et al. Crestal bone changes around titanium implants. A radiographic evaluation of unloaded nonsubmerged and submerged implants in the canine mandible. *J Periodontol* 1997;68:1117-1130.
17. Hermann JS, Jones AA, Bakaeen LG, et al. Influence of a machined collar on crestal bone changes around titanium implants: a histometric study in the canine mandible. *J Periodontol* 2011;82(9):1329-1338.

18. Hermann JS, Schoolfield JD, Nummikoski PV, et al. Crestal bone changes around titanium implants: A methodologic study comparing linear radiographic with histometric measurements. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001;16:475-485.
19. Truhlar RS, Farish SE, Scheitler LE, et al. Bone quality and implant design related outcomes through stage II surgical uncovering of Spectra-System root form implants. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1997;55(12 suppl 5):46-54.
20. Manz MC. Radiographic assessment of peri-implant vertical bone loss: DICRG Interim Report No. 9. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1997;55(suppl 5):62-71.
21. Tarnow DP, Cho SC, Wallace SS. The effect of inter-implant distance on the height of inter-implant bone crest. *J Periodontol* 2000;71:546-549.
22. Esposito M, Ekstubb A, Grondahl K. Radiological evaluation of marginal bone loss at tooth surfaces facing single Brånemark implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1993;4:151-157.
23. Abrahamsson I, Berglund T, Lindhe J. The mucosal barrier following abutment dis/reconnection. An experimental study in dogs. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:568-572.
24. Abrahamsson I, Berglund T, Sekino S, et al. Tissue reactions to abutment shift: An experimental study in dogs. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2003;5:82-88.
25. Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, et al. Marginal tissue reactions at osseointegrated titanium fixtures (I). A 3-year longitudinal prospective study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1986;15:39-52.
26. Cox JF, Zarb GA. The longitudinal clinical efficacy of osseointegrated dental implants: A 3-year report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987;2:91-100.
27. Annibali S, Bignozzi I, Cristalli MP, et al. Peri-implant marginal bone level: a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies comparing platform switching versus conventionally restored implants. *J Clin Periodontol* 2012;39(11):1097-1113.
28. Atieh MA, Ibrahim HM, Atieh AH. Platform switching for marginal bone preservation around dental implants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Periodontol* 2010;81(10):1350-1366.
29. Palmer RM, Smith BJ, Palmer PJ, et al. A prospective study of Astra single tooth implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997;8(3):173-179.
30. Puchades-Roman L, Palmer RM, Palmer PJ, et al. A clinical, radiographic, and microbiologic comparison of Astra Tech and Brånemark single tooth implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2000;2(2):78-84.
31. Baffone GM, Botticelli D, Pantani F, et al. Influence of various implant platform configurations on peri-implant tissue dimensions: an experimental study in dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2011;22(4):438-444.
32. Albrektsson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, et al. The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants: A review and proposed criteria of success. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1986;1:11-25.
33. Misch CE, Perel ML, Wang H-L, et al. Implant success, survival, and failure: The international congress of oral implantologists (ICOI) Pisa consensus conference. *Implant Dentistry* 2008;17:5-15.
34. Telleman G, Raghoobar GM, Vissink A, et al. Impact of platform switching on interproximal bone levels around 8.5 mm implants in the posterior region; 5-year results from a randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Periodontol* 2016 Nov 24. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12654. [Epub ahead of print]
35. Laurell L, Lundgren D. Marginal bone level changes at dental implants after 5 years in function: a meta-analysis. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2011;13(1):19-28.
36. Cochran DL, Obrecht M, Weber K, et al. Biologic width adjacent to loaded implants with machined and rough collars in the dog. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2014;34(6):773-779.
37. Hansson S. The implant neck: smooth or provided with retention elements. A biomechanical approach. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1999;10(5):394-405.
38. Lee DW, Choi YS, Park KH, et al. Effect of microthread on the maintenance of marginal bone level: a 3-year prospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18(4):465-470.
39. Piao CM, Lee JE, Koak JY, et al. Marginal bone loss around three different implant systems: radiographic evaluation after 1 year. *J Oral Rehabil* 2009;36(10):748-754.

40. Bratu EA, Tandlich M, Shapira L. A rough surface implant neck with microthreads reduces the amount of marginal bone loss: a prospective clinical study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20(8):827-832.
41. Shin SY1, Han DH. Influence of a microgrooved collar design on soft and hard tissue healing of immediate implantation in fresh extraction sites in dogs. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(8):804-814.
42. Peñarrocha-Diago MA, Flichy-Fernández AJ, Alonso-González R, et al. Influence of implant neck design and implant-abutment connection type on peri-implant health. Radiological study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(11):1192-2000.