

An in vitro study in four commercial implant systems

The influence of the insertion process on dental implant surfaces

HERBERT DEPPE¹ DDS PHD, FLORIAN BAUER¹ DDS, RICARDA RUTHENBERG¹ DDS, STEFAN WAGENPFEIL² PHD, THOMAS MÜCKE¹ MD DDS PHD, ANTON SCULEAN³ PHD

Literature

- [1] Mints D, Elias C, Funkenbusch P, Meirelles L. Integrity of implant surface modifications after insertion. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2014; 29: 97-104
- [2] Rüger M, Gensior TJ, Herren C, von Walter M, Ocklenburg C, Marx R, Erli HJ. The removal of Al₂O₃ particles from grit-blasted titanium implant surfaces: effects on biocompatibility, osseointegration and interface strength in vivo. *Acta Biomater* 2010; 6: 2852-2861
- [3] Mata A, Su X, Fleischman AJ, Roy S, Banks BA, Miller SK, Midura RJ. Osteoblast attachment to a textured surface in the absence of exogenous adhesion proteins. *IEEE Trans Nanobioscience*. 2003; 2: 287-294
- [4] Choi JW, Heo SJ, Koak JY, Kim SK, Lim YJ, Kim SH, Lee JB. Biological responses of anodized titanium implants under different current voltages. *J Oral Rehabil* 2006; 33: 889-897
- [5] Deppe H, Grünberg C, Thomas M, Sculean A, Benner KU, Bauer FJ. Surface morphology analysis of dental implants following insertion into bone using scanning electron microscopy: a pilot study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015; 26: 1261-1266
- [6] Mishra SK, Kumar MA Chowdhary R. Anodized dental implant surface. *Indian J Dent Res*. 2017; 28: 76-99
- [7] Pawley JB (editor). *Handbook of Biological Confocal Microscopy* (3rd ed.). Berlin: Springer 2006.
- [8] Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T. Suggested guidelines for the topographic evaluation of implant surfaces. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000; 15: 331-344
- [9] Sartoretto SC, Alves AT, Resende RF, Calasans-Maia J, Granjeiro JM, Calasans-Maia MD. Early osseointegration driven by the surface chemistry and wettability of dental implants. *J Appl Oral Sci*. 2015; 23: 279-287
- [10] Brown Ch A, Johnsen WA, Hult KM. Scale-sensitivity, Fractal Analysis and Simulations. *Int J Mach Tools Manufact*. 1998; 38: 633-637
- [11] Arvidsson A, Sater BA, Wennerberg A. The role of functional parameters for topographical characterization of bone-anchored implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2006; 8: 70-76
- [12] Misch CE. Bone density: A key determinant for clinical success. In: Misch CE (editor): *Contemporary Implant Dentistry*. CV Mosby Company, 2nd ed. St Louis; 1999: 109-118
- [13] Cooper LF. A role for surface topography in creating and maintaining bone at titanium endosseous implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000; 84: 522-534
- [14] Trisi P, Lazzara R, Rebaudi A, Rao W, Testori T, Porter SS. Bone-implant contact on machined and dual acid-etched surfaces after 2 months of healing in the human maxilla. *J Periodontol*. 2003; 74: 945-956
- [15] Pak HS, Yeo IS, Yang JH. A histomorphometric study of dental implants with different surface characteristics. *J Adv Prosthodont*. 2010; 2: 142-147
- [16] Goodman SB, Ma T. Cellular chemotaxis induced by wear particles from joint replacements. *Biomaterials* 2010; 31: 5045-5050
- [17] Bukata SV, Gelinis J, Wei X, Rosier RN, Puzas JE, Zhang X, Schwarz EM, Song XY, Griswold DE, O'Keefe RJ. PGE₂ and IL-6 production by fibroblasts in response to titanium wear debris particles is mediated through a Cox-2 dependent pathway. *J Orthop Res* 2004; 22: 6-12
- [18] Bouwman JP, Tuinzing DB, Kostense PJ. A comparative in vitro study on fixation of sagittal split osteotomies with Wurzburg screws, Champy miniplates, and Biofix (biodegradable) rods. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1994; 23: 46-48
- [19] Mosekilde L. Assessing bone quality - animal models in preclinical osteoporosis research. *Bone* 1995; 17: 343S-352S

- [20] Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T, Andersson B, Krol JJ. A histomorphometric and removal torque study of screw-shaped titanium implants with three different surface topographies. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1995; 6: 24-30
- [21] Ballo AM, Omar O, Xia W, Palmquist A. Dental Implant Surfaces –Physicochemical Properties, Biological Performance, and Trends, *Implant Dentistry - A Rapidly Evolving Practice*, Prof. Ilser Turkyilmaz (Ed.), 2011. ISBN: 978-953-307-658-4, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/implant-dentistry-a-rapidly-evolving-practice/dental-implant-surfacesphysicochemical-properties-biological-performance-and-trends>
- [22] Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Oral implant surfaces: Part 1- review focusing on topographic and chemical properties of different surfaces and in vivo responses to them. *Int J Prosthodont* 2004; 17: 536-543
- [23] Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T Ulrich H, Krol JJ. An optical three-dimensional technique for topographical descriptions of surgical implants. *J Biomed Eng* 1992; 14: 412-418
- [24] Wennerberg A, Ektessabi A, Albrektsson T, Johansson C, Andersson B. A 1-year follow-up of implants of differing surface roughness placed in rabbit bone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1997; 12: 486-494
- [25] Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T, Andersson B. Bone tissue response to commercially pure titanium implants blasted with fine and coarse particles of aluminum oxide. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1996; 11: 38-45
- [26] Wennerberg A, Ide-Ektessabi A, Hatkamata S, Sawase T, Johansson C, Albrektsson T, Martinelli A, Södervall U, Odellius H. Titanium release from implants prepared with different surface roughness. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2004; 15: 505-512
- [27] Valente ML, Lepri CP, dos Reis AC. In vitro microstructural analysis of dental implants subjected to insertion torque and pullout test. *Braz Dent J* 2014; 25: 343-345
- [28] Guan H, van Staden R, Loo YC, Johnson N, Ivanovski S, Meredith N. Influence of bone and dental implant parameters on stress distribution in the mandible: a finite element study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009; 24: 866-876.
- [29] Schliephake H, Reiss G, Urban R, Neukam FW, Guckel S. Metal release from titanium fixtures during placement in the mandible: an experimental study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1993; 8: 502-511