

2.5 mm diameter implants as a predictable treatment

Narrow diameter implants in severe bone resorptions

EDUARDO ANITUA DDS, MD, PHD, VITORIA, SPAIN

Literature

- 1: Assaf A, Saad M, Daas M, Abdallah J, Abdallah R. Use of narrow-diameter implants in the posterior jaw: a systematic review. *Implant Dent*. 2015;24:294-306.
- 2: Klein MO, Schiegnitz E, Al-Nawas B. Systematic review on success of narrow-diameter dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2014;29 Suppl:43-54.
- 3: Zweers J, van Doornik A, Hogendorf EA, Quirynen M, Van der Weijden GA. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of narrow- vs. regular-diameter dental implants: a 3-year follow-up. A retrospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015;26:149-56.
- 4: Sierra-Sánchez JL, Martínez-González A, García-Sala Bonmatí F, Mañes-Ferrer JF, Brotons-Oliver A. Narrow-diameter implants: are they a predictable treatment option? A literature review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2014;19:e74-81.
- 5: Andersen E, Saxegaard E, Knutsen BM, Haanaes HR. A prospective clinical study evaluating the safety and effectiveness of narrow-diameter threaded implants in the anterior region of the maxilla. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001; 16: 217-224.
- 6: Berczy K, Laszlo Z, Gondocs G, Shkolnik T, Joob-F A. Changes of trends in the size of the dental implants in recent years Part 1. The role of short implants in dental implantology The literature review. *Fogorv Sz*. 2016;109:136-140.
- 7: Altuna P, Lucas-Taulé E, Gargallo-Albiol J, Figueras-Álvarez O, Hernández-Alfaro F, Nart J. Clinical evidence on titanium-zirconium dental implants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2016;45:842-50.
- 8: Papadimitriou DE, Friedland B, Gannam C, Salari S, Galucci GO. Narrow-Diameter versus Standard-Diameter Implants and Their Effect on the Need for Guided Bone Regeneration: A Virtual Three-Dimensional Study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2015;17:1127-33.
- 9: Tolentino L, Sukekava F, Seabra M, Lima LA, Garcez-Filho J, Araújo MG. Success and survival rates of narrow diameter implants made of titanium-zirconium alloy in the posterior region of the jaws - results from a 1-year follow-up. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2014;25:137-41.
- 10: Garcez-Filho J, Tolentino L, Sukekava F, Seabra M, Cesar-Neto JB, Araújo MG. Long-term outcomes from implants installed by using split-crest technique in posterior maxilla: 10 years of follow-up. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015 Mar;26(3):326-31.
- 11: Renouard F, Nisand D. Impact of implant length and diameter on survival rates. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2006; 17 Suppl 2: 35-51.
- 12: Sohrabi K, Mushantat A, Esfandiari S, Feine J. How successful are small-diameter implants? A literature review. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012; 23: 515-525.
- 13: Ortega-Oller I, Suarez F, Galindo-Moreno P, Torrecillas-Martinez L, Monje A, Catena A, Wang HL. The influence of implant diameter on its survival: a meta-analysis based on prospective clinical trials. *J Periodontol* 2014; 85: 569-580.
- 14: Anitua E, Tapia R, Luzuriaga F, Orive G. Influence of implant length, diameter, and geometry on stress distribution: a finite element analysis. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2010; 30: 89-95.
- 15: Pierrisnard L, Renouard F, Renault P, Barquins M. Influence of implant length and bicortical anchorage on implant stress distribution. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2003; 5: 254-262.